UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.          | FILING DATE                   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/803,512               | 0/803,512 03/18/2004 Guy Rome |                      | 1016720019P         | 5437             |
| 34284<br>Rutan & Tucker  | 7590 10/16/200<br>r. LLP.     | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| 611 ANTON B              |                               | VU, QUYNH-NHU HOANG  |                     |                  |
| SUITE 1400<br>COSTA MESA | , CA 92626                    |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                          |                               |                      | 3763                |                  |
|                          |                               |                      |                     |                  |
|                          |                               |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                          |                               |                      | 10/16/2008          | PAPER            |

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |  |  |
|-----------------|--------------|--|--|
| 10/803,512      | ROME, GUY    |  |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit     |  |  |
| QUYNH-NHU H. VU | 3763         |  |  |

|   | QUYNH-NHU H. VU  | 3763  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the  | correspondence add  | ress                                     |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 29 September 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS   | S APPLICATION IN CONDITION I   | FOR ALLOWANCE.  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:   | replies: (1) an amendment, affidav<br>eal (with appeal fee) in compliance  | it, or other evidence, w<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; or           | hich places the (3) a Request            |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ai no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f   | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth<br>hter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin<br>b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | g date of the final rejection                               | n.                                       |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL   | ension and the corresponding amount<br>hortened statutory period for reply orig                                      | of the fee. The appropria<br>inally set in the final Office | ate extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as |  |  |  |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS  | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of the                                      |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the proposed in the proposed in the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims.</li> </ul> | nsideration and/or search (see NO<br>w);<br>er form for appeal by materially re                                      | TE below);<br>ducing or simplifying tl                      |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12  5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):   |  | mpliant Amendment (l  | PTOL-324).                               |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [</li> </ul>  |  |   | _  |  |  |  |
| how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |  | ii be entered and an e.                                     | кріанацон о                              |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a<br>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of<br>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary  | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe   | al and/or appellant fail:                                   | s to provide a                           |  |  |  |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation<br>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   |  | •   |  |  |  |  |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  See Con't Sheet.  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>  | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)   |   |  |  |  |  |
| /Nicholas D Lucchesi/<br>Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3763   | Quynh-Nhu H. Vu<br>Examiner<br>Art Unit: 3763  |   |  |  |  |  |

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Application No.

Con't of 11

Examiner has received and carefully reviewd the Remark filed on 9/29/08. However, Prior Arts still read upon the claimed invention. Please see the Office Action mailed on 5/30/08.